

Table 1

Statement on principal adverse impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors

<p>Financial market participant HSBC GLOBAL ASSET MANAGEMENT (MALTA) LIMITED, LEI: 213800K5ZFNCCMMGZHN18</p> <p>Summary HSBC GLOBAL ASSET MANAGEMENT (MALTA) LIMITED, LEI - 213800K5ZFNCCMMGZHN18 considers principal adverse impacts of its investment decisions on sustainability factors. The present statement is the consolidated statement on principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors of HSBC GLOBAL ASSET MANAGEMENT (MALTA) LIMITED. This statement on principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors covers the reference period from 1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022.</p> <p>We (HSBC GLOBAL ASSET MANAGEMENT (MALTA) LIMITED) recognize that sustainability risks can lead to outcomes that have adverse impacts on the value of the financial products and on society. Our integration of environmental, social and governance factors as set out in our Responsible Investment Policy addresses material principal adverse impacts in our fundamental research and contributes to investment decisions in our investment process. We consider these impacts in our voting and engagement, and in further policies which express our sustainability objectives and set out the actions we take to reach them. This approach helps us to manage these adverse impacts and sustainability risks in our investments. The relevant principal adverse impacts include the full range of mandatory climate, environmental, social, employee and human rights impacts for which mandatory indicators have been identified, as well as impacts related to carbon emissions and human rights for which optional indicators have been identified and for which we explain our actions taken, actions planned, and targets set.</p> <p>It should be noted that at the end of December 2022, the SFDR scope of assets under management managed by HSBC Global Asset Management (Malta) amounted to €538 million. HSBC Global Asset Management (Malta) considers principal adverse impacts of its investment decisions on sustainability factors provided that these do not conflict with its fiduciary obligations as a fund manager.</p> <p>As an asset manager, offering a range of actively managed products, relevant principal adverse impact indicators may be included in our investment process through integration, engagement and / or exclusion. For our sustainable investment definition under the Regulation, relevant principal adverse impacts are considered amongst Do No Significant Harm criteria. We explain our approach to voting at company meetings in our Voting Guidelines; companies which do not adequately manage principal adverse impacts may face voting sanctions. Our Engagement Policy sets out our approach to engagement, including escalation of engagement where companies do not respond adequately to concerns raised regarding adverse impacts. We give further details on our expectations for companies in their management of adverse impacts in our Stewardship Plan.</p> <p>Further details of our approach for screening, investment process and engagement are set out in specific policies, including Banned Weapons. On climate change issues in particular, the net zero ambition and interim emissions reduction target of our parent entity HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd are the most important expression of our ambition. The consolidated statement and all of the policies mentioned are available on our website: www.assetmanagement.hsbc.com.mt/en/individual-investor/about-us/responsible-investing/policies The global net zero interim emissions reduction target is available at: www.netzeroassetmanagers.org/signatories/hsbc-asset-management</p>
--

Description of the principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors

Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact¹ (year 2022)	Impact² (year 2021)	Explanation³	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
CLIMATE AND OTHER ENVIRONMENT-RELATED INDICATORS					
Greenhouse gas emissions	1. GHG Emissions	Scope 1 GHG Emissions - Metric tons CO2 equivalents	3,843.67	NA Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 17.92% Data Vendor : S&P Trucost Scope 1 designates the GHG emissions (in tons of CO2 equivalent) from direct emitting sources owned or controlled by a company: direct emissions resulting from the combustion of fossil fuels, such as gas, oil, coal, during their process of production. Within the framework of SFDR, GHG Emissions refer to 'financed emissions'. For example, if a portfolio owns 10% of a given company, it would be financing 10% of its emissions. To get the total, we sum up the GHG absolute emissions - Scope 1 divided by enterprise value, multiplied by investment for all investee companies. Enterprise Value, including cash (EVIC) in million Euro are sourced from Factset. Data limitation: GHG Emissions - Scope 1 data are primarily based on company disclosures, or estimated by S&P Trucost in the absence of company reports. There is not 100% coverage for these metrics. While our data provider S&P Trucost endeavours to provide full coverage for the entire universe of possible holdings, there are sometimes gaps due to companies not reporting emissions and it is not appropriate to estimate non-reported emissions for niche asset classes or sub-industries. There is a further potential gap when our holding is at the subsidiary level and emissions are only reported at the level of the parent company and not distributable to the subsidiary. These datapoints are measured in tonnes of CO2 equivalents per million of euros of revenue. They cover the full spectrum of GHG emissions and not only CO2 Hence, we consider them as an Exact Fit of the PAI definition. It is important to note that we decided to zero down the carbon emission for internally approved green bonds - i.e. green bonds for which "greenness" has been ascertained and approved by a fully-fledged HSBC AM committee. This option has been set in the absence of a more accurate and systematic assessment which would consist in applying to the concerned bond a reduced GHG emissions based on the financed projects / use of proceeds.	Our parent entity HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd is a signatory to the Net Zero Asset Managers initiative, with a net zero 2050 objective across all assets. It has set a global interim target covering 38 per cent of the global assets for a 58 per cent reduction in emissions intensity by 2030, compared with 2019. Our contribution to this global target has not been defined at this stage. This covers Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions intensity. To support this commitment, HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd has a climate implementation plan to embed climate transition into its investment value chain. HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd is establishing transition plan analysis for issuers with fossil fuel exposure. The global thermal coal policy introduced in 2022 commits actively managed portfolios to phase out thermal coal by 2030 for EU/OECD and 2040 for non-OECD. Later in 2023, a global policy covering our investment in oil & gas will be published.
		Scope 2 GHG Emissions - Metric tons CO2 equivalents	1,244.75	NA Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 17.92% Data Vendor = S&P Trucost Scope 2 comprises company's indirect GHG emissions (in tons of CO2 equivalent) from purchased or acquired electricity, steam, heat and cooling necessary to manufacture the product. Within the framework of SFDR, GHG Emissions refer to 'financed emissions'. For example, if a portfolio owns 10% of a given company, it would be financing 10% of its emissions. To get the total, we sum up the GHG absolute emissions - Scope 2 divided by enterprise value, multiplied by investment for all investee companies. Enterprise Value, including cash (EVIC) in million Euro are sourced from Factset. Data limitation: GHG Emissions - Scope 2 data are primarily based on company disclosures, or estimated by S&P Trucost in the absence of company reports. There is not 100% coverage for these metrics. While our data provider S&P Trucost endeavours to provide full coverage for the entire universe of possible holdings, there are sometimes gaps due to companies not reporting emissions and it is not appropriate to estimate non-reported emissions for niche asset classes or sub-industries. There is a further potential gap when our holding is at the subsidiary level and emissions are only reported at the level of the parent company and not distributable to the subsidiary. This PAI is measured in tonnes of CO2 equivalents and cover the full spectrum of GHG emissions and not only CO2. Hence, we consider it as an Exact Fit of the PAI definition. It is important to note that we decided to zero down the carbon emission for internally approved green bonds - i.e. green bonds for which "greenness" has been ascertained and approved by a fully-fledged HSBC AM committee. This option has been set in the absence of a more accurate and systematic assessment which would consist in applying to the concerned bond a reduced GHG emissions based on the financed projects / use of proceeds.	Our parent entity HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd is a signatory to the Net Zero Asset Managers initiative, with a net zero 2050 objective across all assets. It has set a global interim target covering 38 per cent of the global assets for a 58 per cent reduction in emissions intensity by 2030, compared with 2019. Our contribution to this global target has not been defined at this stage. This covers Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions intensity. To support this commitment, HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd has a climate implementation plan to embed climate transition into its investment value chain. HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd is establishing transition plan analysis for issuers with fossil fuel exposure. The global thermal coal policy introduced in 2022 commits actively managed portfolios to phase out thermal coal by 2030 for EU/OECD and 2040 for non-OECD. Later in 2023, a global policy covering our investment in oil & gas will be published.

1. Derivatives are not included in the calculation of Principal Adverse Impact metrics due to data limitations, but are considered for eligibility and coverage calculations. Further information on the PAI calculation methodology are available on our website.

2. The reference period of Principle Adverse Impacts started on 1 January 2022 and there would be no historical comparisons available for the current reporting year (1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022).

3. 'Eligible' means holdings that are relevant (companies, sovereigns or real estate) for Principle Adverse Impact indicators in question and 'covered' means those eligible holdings for which relevant underlying data has been obtained or estimated.

Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact ¹ (year 2022)	Impact ² (year 2021)	Explanation ³	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
	Scope 3 GHG Emissions - Metric tons CO2 equivalents	8,241.22	NA	<p>Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 17.92% Data Vendor : S&P Trucost</p> <p>GHG emissions - Scope 3, defined as all other indirect emissions (not included in scope 1 and 2) that are generated throughout a company's value chain, can often be the largest source of emissions for companies. Scope 3 emissions are divided into two main categories: upstream (supply chain, i.e. purchased or acquired goods & services) and downstream (products value chain: use of sold goods and services) activities of a company. S&P Trucost considers all upstream and downstream GHG emissions - scope 3 categories as outlined by the GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain Accounting and Reporting Standard.</p> <p>Within the framework of SFDR, GHG Emissions refer to 'financed emissions'. For example, if a portfolio owns 10% of a given company, it would be financing 10% of its emissions. To get the total, we sum up the GHG absolute emissions - Scope 3 divided by enterprise value including cash (EVIC), multiplied by investment for all investee companies. Enterprise Value, including cash (EVIC) in million Euro are sourced from FactSet.</p> <p>Data limitation: Scope 1 and 2 carbon are more directly under the control of the individual companies we invest in, whereas scope 3 estimates are more an estimation of a company's interaction and the resulting GHG emissions of downstream & upstream stakeholders. Due to a lack of reported information, It is a great challenge to estimate and quantify company scope 3 emissions.</p> <p>It is important to note that we decided to zero down the carbon emission for internally approved green bonds - i.e. green bonds for which "greenness" has been ascertained and approved by a fully-fledged HSBC AM committee. This option has been set in the absence of a more accurate and systematic assessment which would consist in applying to the concerned bond a reduced GHG emissions based on the financed projects / use of proceeds.</p>	<p>Our parent entity HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd is a signatory to the Net Zero Asset Managers initiative, with a net zero 2050 objective across all assets. It has set a global interim target covering 38 per cent of the global assets for a 58 per cent reduction in emissions intensity by 2030, compared with 2019. Our contribution to this global target has not been defined at this stage. This covers Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions intensity. To support this commitment, HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd has a climate implementation plan to embed climate transition into its investment value chain. HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd is establishing transition plan analysis for issuers with fossil fuel exposure. The global thermal coal policy introduced in 2022 commits actively managed portfolios to phase out thermal coal by 2030 for EU/OECD and 2040 for non-OECD. Later in 2023, a global policy covering our investment in oil & gas will be published.</p>
	Total GHG Emissions - Metric tons CO2 equivalents	13,329.64	NA	<p>Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 17.92% Data Vendor : S&P Trucost</p> <p>Total GHG emissions is the sum of the GHG absolute emissions (Scope 1,2 & 3) divided by enterprise value, multiplied by investment. GHG emissions are primarily based on company disclosures, or estimated by S&P Trucost in the absence of company reports. Within the framework of SFDR, Total GHG Emissions (Scope 1, 2 & 3) refer to 'financed emissions'. For example, if a portfolio owns 10% of a given company, it would be financing 10% of its GHG emissions. Enterprise Value, including cash (EVIC) in million Euro are sourced from FactSet.</p> <p>Data limitation: Lack of coverage of GHG emissions - Scope 3 and some niche asset classes (such as Small Caps, High Yield, Frontier or Emerging Markets issuers). These gaps are due to companies not reporting emissions and it is not appropriate to estimate non-reported emissions for niche asset classes or sub-industries.</p> <p>This PAI is measured in tonnes of CO2 equivalents and cover the full spectrum of GHG emissions and not only CO2. Hence, we consider it as an Exact Fit of the PAI definition.</p> <p>It is important to note that we decided to zero down the carbon emission for internally approved green bonds - i.e. green bonds for which "greenness" has been ascertained and approved by a fully-fledged HSBC AM committee. This option has been set in the absence of a more accurate and systematic assessment which would consist in applying to the concerned bond a reduced GHG emissions based on the financed projects / use of proceeds.</p>	<p>We believe that our parent entity's Net Zero Asset Managers initiative commitment and interim target will guide our emissions reduction pathway. To support this commitment our parent entity has a climate implementation plan to embed climate transition into its investment value chain. It is establishing transition plan analysis for issuers with fossil fuel exposure. Our global thermal coal policy introduced in 2022 commits actively managed portfolios to phase out thermal coal by 2030 for EU/OECD and 2040 for non-OECD. Later in 2023, a global policy covering our investment in oil & gas will be published.</p>

1. Derivatives are not included in the calculation of Principal Adverse Impact metrics due to data limitations, but are considered for eligibility and coverage calculations. Further information on the PAI calculation methodology are available on our website.

2. The reference period of Principle Adverse Impacts started on 1 January 2022 and there would be no historical comparisons available for the current reporting year (1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022).

3. 'Eligible' means holdings that are relevant (companies, sovereigns or real estate) for Principle Adverse Impact indicators in question and 'covered' means those eligible holdings for which relevant underlying data has been obtained or estimated.

Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact ¹ (year 2022)	Impact ² (year 2021)	Explanation ³	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
2. Carbon Footprint	Carbon Footprint - Metric tons of CO2 per million of euros (EV)	41.82	NA	<p>Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 17.92% Data Vendor : S&P Trucost</p> <p>This PAI is the sum of all financed emissions (scope 1, 2 and 3) divided by the total value of investments.</p> <p>Data limitation: Carbon emissions (Scope 1, 2 & 3) are primarily based on company carbon disclosures, or estimated by S&P Trucost in the absence of company reports. It is worth noting the lack of coverage of scope 3 Carbon emissions and some niche asset classes (such as Small Caps, High Yield, Frontier or Emerging Markets issuers). These gaps are due to companies not reporting emissions and it is not appropriate to estimate non-reported emissions for niche asset classes or sub-industries.</p> <p>This PAI is considered as an Exact Fit of the definition.</p> <p>It is important to note that we decided to zero down the carbon emission for internally approved green bonds - i.e. green bonds for which "greenness" has been ascertained and approved by a fully-fledged HSBC AM committee. This option has been set in the absence of a more accurate and systematic assessment which would consist in applying to the concerned bond a reduced GHG emissions based on the financed projects / use of proceeds.</p>	<p>Our parent entity HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd is a signatory to the Net Zero Asset Managers initiative, with a net zero 2050 objective across all assets. It has set a global interim target covering 38 per cent of our the global assets for a 58 per cent reduction in emissions intensity by 2030, compared with 2019. Our contribution to this global target has not been defined at this stage. This covers Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions intensity. To support this commitment, HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd has a climate implementation plan to embed climate transition into its investment value chain. HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd is establishing transition plan analysis for issuers with fossil fuel exposure. The global thermal coal policy introduced in 2022 commits actively managed portfolios to phase out thermal coal by 2030 for EU/OECD and 2040 for non-OECD. Later in 2023, a global policy covering our investment in oil & gas will be published.</p>
3. GHG Intensity of investee companies	GHG intensity of investee companies - Tons of CO2 equivalents per million of euros of revenue	241.79	NA	<p>Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 19.86% Data Vendor : S&P Trucost</p> <p>GHG intensity measures the quantity of GHG emissions (scope 1,2 and 3) per million euro revenue of an issuer of a financial investment. It is a measure of environmental efficiency of an issuer, measuring issuer GHG emissions, relative to issuer economic output.</p> <p>Data limitation: GHG intensity are primary based on company GHG emissions (Scope 1, 2 & 3) disclosures, or estimated by S&P Trucost in the absence of company reports. The lack of coverage of scope 3 and niche asset classes (Small Caps, High Yield, Frontier or Emerging Markets issuers) are due to companies not reporting emissions and it is not appropriate to estimate non-reported emissions for niche asset classes or sub-industries.</p> <p>This PAI is measured in tonnes of CO2 equivalents and cover the full spectrum of GHG emissions and not only CO2. Hence, we consider it as an Exact Fit of the PAI definition.</p> <p>It is important to note that we decided to zero down the carbon emission for internally approved green bonds - i.e. green bonds for which "greenness" has been ascertained and approved by a fully-fledged HSBC AM committee. This option has been set in the absence of a more accurate and systematic assessment which would consist in applying to the concerned bond a reduced GHG emissions based on the financed projects / use of proceeds.</p>	<p>Our parent entity HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd is a signatory to the Net Zero Asset Managers initiative, with a net zero 2050 objective across all assets. It has set a global interim target covering 38 per cent of the global assets for a 58 per cent reduction in emissions intensity by 2030, compared with 2019. Our contribution to this global target has not been defined at this stage. This covers Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions intensity. To support this commitment, HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd has a climate implementation plan to embed climate transition into its investment value chain. HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd is establishing transition plan analysis for issuers with fossil fuel exposure. The global thermal coal policy introduced in 2022 commits actively managed portfolios to phase out thermal coal by 2030 for EU/OECD and 2040 for non-OECD. Later in 2023, a global policy covering our investment in oil & gas will be published.</p>
4. Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	Share of investments in companies active in the fossil fuel sector	1.51%	NA	<p>Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 16.86% Data Vendor : Sustainalytics</p> <p>For this PAI, the selection of data points provided by Sustainalytics cover the full spectrum of fossil fuel involvements of companies determined on their revenues analysis. This data is taken as reported by the company. This PAI considers only companies with significant involvement in the fossil fuel sector, meaning more than 10% revenue generated from fossil fuel. We consider this metrics to be an Exact Fit to the PAI definition. Sustainalytics do not use estimation models to cover this PAI.</p>	<p>We believe that the most significant reduction in GHG emissions will be secured by companies active in the fossil fuel sector achieving a transition away from their dependency on fossil fuel. For that reason we favour engagement in support of robust transition plans over divestment from the sector. Our parent entity's net zero commitments will also involve divestment over time from companies which do not develop robust transition plans. The global Thermal Coal Policy to phase out thermal coal exposure from our actively managed portfolios by 2030 for EU/OECD and 2040 for all other markets. A global policy on other forms of energy should be published during 2023.</p>
5. Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production	Share of non-renewable energy consumption of investee companies from non-renewable energy sources compared to renewable energy sources, expressed as a percentage of total energy sources	41.96%	NA	<p>Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 5.72% Data vendor : Bloomberg</p> <p>This PAI represents the percentage of the company's total energy consumption that is generated using non-renewable sources in the reporting year. This data is taken as reported by the company. To calculate the reported shares, the percentages as directly reported by companies are taken into account, or a calculation is made based on company disclosure on total energy consumption and related non-renewable share. Bloomberg do not use estimation models to cover this PAI.</p> <p>Data limitation: Despite a larger research universe, only a smaller number of companies are disclosing the metric but we can expect this limitation to ease in the future.</p>	<p>In addition to engagement for robust transition plans for companies dependent upon non-renewable energy sources, we also seek investment opportunities in renewable energy sources. Both will be necessary to meet our parent entity's net zero commitments.</p>

1. Derivatives are not included in the calculation of Principal Adverse Impact metrics due to data limitations, but are considered for eligibility and coverage calculations. Further information on the PAI calculation methodology are available on our website.

2. The reference period of Principle Adverse Impacts started on 1 January 2022 and there would be no historical comparisons available for the current reporting year (1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022).

3. 'Eligible' means holdings that are relevant (companies, sovereigns or real estate) for Principle Adverse Impact indicators in question and 'covered' means those eligible holdings for which relevant underlying data has been obtained or estimated.

Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact ¹ (year 2022)	Impact ² (year 2021)	Explanation ³	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period	
	Share of non-renewable energy production of investee companies from non-renewable energy sources compared to renewable energy sources, expressed as a percentage of total energy sources	80.96%	NA	<p>Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 0.46% Data vendor : Bloomberg</p> <p>This PAI represents the percentage of the company's non-renewables energy production in the reporting year. This data is taken as reported by the company. Total energy production from renewables includes wind, solar, biomass, waste and all other types of renewables energy in gigawatt hours (GWh). To calculate the reported shares, the percentages as directly reported by companies are taken into account, or a calculation is made based on company disclosure on total energy production and related non-renewable share. Bloomberg do not use estimation models to cover this PAI.</p> <p>Data limitation: Despite a larger research universe, only a small number of companies are disclosing the metric, but we can expect this limitation to ease in the future. It is worth noting that this data is available for the Utilities' sector only.</p>	See above	
6. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector	Energy consumption in GWh per million EUR of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector - GWh per million of euros of revenue	0.09	NA	<p>Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 4.35% Data vendor : Bloomberg, S&P Trucost</p> <p>This data is taken as reported by the company. Energy consumption in GWh per million EUR of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sectors based on NACE classification of activities. NACE (Nomenclature of Economic Activities) is the European statistical classification of economic activities.</p> <p>Data limitation: Despite a larger research universe, only a smaller number of companies are disclosing the metric but we can expect this limitation to ease in the future.</p>	Our parent entity's emission intensity reduction targets require a focus on the fossil fuel usage, including energy consumption, of issuers in sectors with a high climate impact.	
Biodiversity	7. Activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas	Share of investments in investee companies with sites/operations located in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas where activities of those investee companies negatively affect those areas	1.10%	NA	<p>Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 12.28% Data Vendor : Iceberg Data Lab</p> <p>We use an approximation of the "Share of investments in investee companies with sites/ operations located in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas where related-activities negatively affect those areas" due to the challenge of gathering a mapping of investee companies with sites/operations located in or near to biodiversity sensitive areas. An assessment of companies' activities located in or near to biodiversity sensitive areas would require a spatial approach which is not yet disclosed by companies and available to investors. In this context, we use an approximation to assess the most material biodiversity impacts of companies. We rely on Iceberg Data Lab methodology which estimates all the material biodiversity impacts, calculated and supported by robust scientific frameworks (damage functions, pressure factors), and translated into the Corporate Biodiversity Footprint (CBF) indicator which reflects the annual biodiversity footprint of a company, expressed in the Km².MSA unit. The « Mean Species Abundance » (MSA) is a biodiversity metric expressing the average relative abundance of native species in an ecosystem compared to their abundance in an ecosystem undisturbed. The CBF Score is derived from the CBF Indicator and reflects the relative performance of an issuer compared to its peers in a designated sector or sub-sector. This score, on a range from 1 (best or most reduced impact on Biodiversity) to 6 (worst or most important impact on Biodiversity), is used for running high-level screening for portfolio analysis and allows comparison to the peers within the same sector. For PAI 7, we use and report on the % of investments in investee companies that have a CBF score equal to 6.</p> <p>Data limitation: There are methodological bias and limits to the Corporate Biodiversity Footprint (CBF) methodology, the most important being that the CBF covers only terrestrial biodiversity and partially marine biodiversity, which are in the scope of many inventories, reviews, and damage functions. In addition, some pressure factors such as Invasive species are not modelled yet, due to the lack of robust models but will be developed over time. It is worth noting that, as of today, only a low fraction of companies is disclosing the metric or information that is requested by the PAI 7 but we can expect this limitation to ease in the future as some companies are increasingly interested in natural capital accounting to mitigate their impacts and measure their risks.</p> <p>Considering the approximation made and data limitation, this PAI is a Partial Fit of the definition.</p>	HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd has committed to work to protect and restore biodiversity through our investments by signing the Finance for Biodiversity Pledge. Current & future actions include: collaboration and knowledge sharing on assessment methodologies, impacts and targets; engaging with companies to reduce negative and increase positive impacts on biodiversity; assessing the impact of our investments; setting targets to increase positive and reduce negative impacts on biodiversity; and to report annually on these activities. During 2022, HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd. continued to engage with companies on biodiversity impacts; included negative biodiversity impacts in our voting criteria.

1. Derivatives are not included in the calculation of Principal Adverse Impact metrics due to data limitations, but are considered for eligibility and coverage calculations. Further information on the PAI calculation methodology are available on our website.

2. The reference period of Principle Adverse Impacts started on 1 January 2022 and there would be no historical comparisons available for the current reporting year (1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022).

3. 'Eligible' means holdings that are relevant (companies, sovereigns or real estate) for Principle Adverse Impact indicators in question and 'covered' means those eligible holdings for which relevant underlying data has been obtained or estimated.

Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact ¹ (year 2022)	Impact ² (year 2021)	Explanation ³	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period	
Water	8. Emissions to water	Tonnes of emissions to water generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average - Thousands of Metric tons per million of euros invested	0.00	NA	<p>Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 0.52% Data vendor : Bloomberg</p> <p>We consider the amount of discharges to water that influence the biophysical or chemical quality of the water, in thousands of metric tonnes per millions of Euros invested. The following pollutants are considered for the purpose of this metric: direct nitrates, direct phosphate emissions, direct pesticides emissions, direct emissions of priority substances (i.e. heavy metals, loads of organic pollutant parameters such as biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and chemical oxygen demand (COD), nitrogen and phosphorus compounds, soluble salts, and suspended solids). Enterprise Value, including cash (EVIC) in million Euro are sourced from Factset. This metric is considered to be an Exact Fit to the definition as provided by the regulator given the correspondence of covered substances. Bloomberg do not use estimation models to cover this PAI.</p> <p>Data limitation : At least in the beginning, we can expect a highly heterogeneous disclosure with most companies only including a subset of this metric in their reporting.</p>	Water usage and emissions are amongst those factors included in third party ESG data which informs our investment process. We expect to include this data as we develop bespoke ESG scores through our Virtual Sector Teams, which is a virtual team across asset classes and is a forum for portfolio managers and analyst with expertise in the sector concerned.
Waste	9. Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio	Tonnes of hazardous waste and radioactive waste generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average - Thousands of Metric tons per million of euros invested	0.00	NA	<p>Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 9.28% Data vendor : Bloomberg</p> <p>Hazardous Waste Ratio is measured in tonnes of hazardous waste generated by a company. Following the EU definition, the datapoints include both hazardous and radioactive waste as reported by companies. Enterprise Value, including cash (EVIC) in million Euro are sourced from Factset. We consider this metrics to be an Exact Fit to the PAI definition as provided by the regulator given the correspondence of covered substances. Bloomberg do not use estimation models to cover this PAI.</p> <p>Data limitation: At least in the beginning, we can expect a highly heterogeneous disclosure with most companies only including a subset of this metric in their reporting.</p>	Our parent entity HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd has assessed the availability of third party data on harmful waste for inclusion on it's ESG data tools. HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd expects to include these data where appropriate to it's investment process.

INDICATORS FOR SOCIAL AND EMPLOYEE, RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, ANTI-CORRUPTION AND ANTI-BRIBERY MATTERS

Social and employee matters	10. Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investments in investee companies that have been involved in violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	0.50%	NA	<p>Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 18.97% Data Vendor : Sustainalytics</p> <p>We rely on Sustainalytics to assess UN Global Compact Principles and OECD Guidelines, i.e. companies in open violation of UN Global Compact Principles, get counted under this PAI. Conversely, companies flagged as Watchlist are regarded to be closely monitored but not yet in open contravention of the principles which we interpret the regulation to require. Given the scope of the principles and standards covered, we consider this datapoint as an Exact Fit to the definition.</p> <p>Data Limitation: In some cases, we cannot always guarantee the data accuracy, timeliness or completeness provided by the vendor. Where necessary, we investigate to verify the accuracy of the violations before a decision is made to action. In situations where we disagree with the vendor's assessments, we add our proprietary research and conclusions for the exclusionary screens committed.</p>	We use a third party data provider to identify alleged violations of UN Global Compact and other international standards by issuers. Enhanced due diligence is undertaken where these are held in active fundamental investment strategies, resulting in some cases in investment exclusions or limitations.
	11. Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises or grievance/complaints handling mechanisms to address violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	0.85%	NA	<p>Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 14.69% Data Vendor : MSCI</p> <p>PAI 11 is intended to capture evidence, or lack thereof, of companies' mechanisms and due diligence efforts to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises. PAI 11 assesses company assurance around available internal policies and guidelines, initiatives to align operations for UNGC principles, etc.</p> <p>We chose to assess at least one of the 3 different data points: Human Right policy, UN Global Compact Signatory, Bribery and anti-corruption policy to assess whether a company has processes in place to monitor UN Global Compact Principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises. MSCI ESG Research provides a metric "UN Global Compact Signatory" with respect to companies' UNGC signatory status as it translates to a requirement to provide annual update on policy implementation.</p> <p>Data limitation: For this PAI, companies are only disclosing when they evidenced the highest level of commitments in the areas screened: Human Rights, UN Global Compact, bribery and anti-corruption processes.</p> <p>It is important to note that current data points used to assess this PAI are sourced from MSCI ESG Research and are dated as of March 2023. We have decided to use March 2023 instead of December 2022 data as these are annual commitments, reported by companies in their annual reports, already valid a couple months earlier.</p>	We use a third party data provider to identify the lack of policies in regard to UN Global Compact and other international standards by issuers. The consideration of human rights has been introduced into HSBC Asset Management's stewardship activities.

1. Derivatives are not included in the calculation of Principal Adverse Impact metrics due to data limitations, but are considered for eligibility and coverage calculations. Further information on the PAI calculation methodology are available on our website.

2. The reference period of Principle Adverse Impacts started on 1 January 2022 and there would be no historical comparisons available for the current reporting year (1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022).

3. 'Eligible' means holdings that are relevant (companies, sovereigns or real estate) for Principle Adverse Impact indicators in question and 'covered' means those eligible holdings for which relevant underlying data has been obtained or estimated.

Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact ¹ (year 2022)	Impact ² (year 2021)	Explanation ³	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
12. Unadjusted gender pay gap	Average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies	21.09%	NA	<p>Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 5.90% Data Vendor : Bloomberg</p> <p>The average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies gap is the difference expressed between the average (mean or median) earnings of men and women including management across a workforce, irrespective of worker characteristics or job title. The unadjusted analysis offers a holistic view for assessing potential pay disparity as it considers the distribution of employees across all jobs. The analysis is unaffected by differences such as level and job title, which may themselves be a result of discrimination. It can reveal, for example, gaps in the representation of women in senior-level roles. We use the percentage gender pay gap for total employees including management for the company. This Percentage represents female earnings in relation to its male counterparts. We consider this PAI as an Exact Fit to this PAI definition.</p> <p>Data limitation: Only a small proportion of companies disclose gender pay gap data, and not all disclosures are the same but we can expect these limitations to ease in the future.</p>	We vote in support of shareholder resolutions calling for transparency on gender pay gaps. Gender pay is included in ESG data that are available in our investment process. These data also inform our engagement with companies and are displayed in the stewardship plan applicable to all group entities. We encourage measurement and transparency in gender pay to improve diversity, equity and inclusion through engagement and exercise of voting rights.
13. Board gender diversity	Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies, expressed as a percentage of all board members	22.97%	NA	<p>Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 37.73% Data Vendor : Bloomberg</p> <p>Percentage of women on the Board of Directors, as reported by the company. Europe: Where the company has a Supervisory Board and a Management Board, this is the Percentage of Women on the Supervisory Board. This data is annualized, based on the reporting year. This PAI is an Exact Fit to this PAI definition. Bloomberg do not use estimation models to cover this PAI.</p> <p>Data limitation : In absence of relevant data, a sector median estimation has been considered in compliance with HSBC Asset Management methodology</p>	Board gender diversity is an important issue in our engagement with issuers, where we engage on diversity within the executive team and senior management as well as the board. We participate in collaborative initiatives encouraging board diversity in certain key markets. It is an important theme in our voting.
14. Exposure to controversial weapons (anti - personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons)	Share of investments in investee companies involved in the manufacture or selling of controversial weapons	0.00%	NA	<p>Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 52.35% Data Vendor : ISS ESG</p> <p>We rely on ISS ESG to screen out companies with strong indications of involvement in the production or distribution of anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons. Based on ISS coverage of the investment universe, this PAI assumes coverage is equivalent to eligibility.</p> <p>Data limitation: Involvement in some weapon categories like biological and chemical weapons, blinding lasers, not detectable fragments remains at best patchy. But there is no alternative as of now. It is worth noting that chemical and biological weapons cases are very unusual and have tended to be made by state owned or private companies. Nevertheless, we consider this PAI as an Exact Fit to this PAI definition.</p>	We exclude from active portfolios that we manage securities issued by companies involved with weapons banned by certain international conventions. These weapons include: anti-personnel mines, biological weapons, blinding laser weapons, chemical weapons, cluster munitions and non-detectable fragments. Sustainable active portfolios also exclude issuers involved in the production of controversial weapons or their key components, including but not limited to depleted uranium weapons and white phosphorous when used for military purposes.

Indicators applicable to investments in sovereigns and supranationals

Environmental	15. GHG intensity	GHG intensity of investee countries - Metric in kilo tons per unit of GDP (in millions of euros)	92.77	NA	<p>Eligibility = 41.09% Coverage = 39.70% Data Vendor : S&P Trucost</p> <p>This metric is measured as the level of GHG territorial emissions (measured in kilo tons, Kton) per unit of GDP (in millions of euro, M€). Data for the GDP of invested countries is obtained from the IMF (public source) while carbon emissions are sourced from S&P Trucost. Intensities are then computed as a simple ratio between emissions and GDP for the latest year available.</p> <p>Data limitation: This PAI is considered as a Partial Fit as we exclude "Land use, land-use change and forestry" (LULUCF) from GHG territorial emissions accounting, given the uncertainty of the numbers. LULUCF is an important category accounting for GHG emissions within the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). The LULUCF sector covers emissions and removals of greenhouse gases resulting from direct human induced land use, land-use change and forestry activities. Carbon is sequestered by forestry and grassland, while carbon losses occur on existing cropland and natural land (e.g. grassland) that is converted to cropland or settlement.</p> <p>It is important to note that we decided to zero down the carbon emission for internally approved green bonds - i.e. green bonds for which "greenness" has been ascertained and approved by a fully-fledged HSBC AM committee. This option has been set in the absence of a more accurate and systematic assessment which would consist in applying to the concerned bond a reduced GHG emissions based on the financed projects / use of proceeds. The same abatement ("zeroing") will apply similarly to portfolio position and corresponding benchmark components.</p>	Sovereign issuers form part of our parent entity's 2050 net zero ambition. Data on the emissions related to sovereign issuers are included in our third party ESG data provider available for inclusion in our investment process. We expect virtual sector teams over time to assess how far this data should be used in our own bespoke ratings. Virtual Sector Teams is a virtual team across asset classes and is a forum for portfolio managers and analyst with expertise in the sector concerned.
---------------	-------------------	--	-------	----	--	--

1. Derivatives are not included in the calculation of Principal Adverse Impact metrics due to data limitations, but are considered for eligibility and coverage calculations. Further information on the PAI calculation methodology are available on our website.

2. The reference period of Principle Adverse Impacts started on 1 January 2022 and there would be no historical comparisons available for the current reporting year (1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022).

3. 'Eligible' means holdings that are relevant (companies, sovereigns or real estate) for Principle Adverse Impact indicators in question and 'covered' means those eligible holdings for which relevant underlying data has been obtained or estimated.

Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact ¹ (year 2022)	Impact ² (year 2021)	Explanation ³	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period	
Social	16. Investee countries subject to social violations	Number of investee countries subject to social violations (absolute number), as referred to in international treaties and conventions, United Nations principles and, where applicable, national law	0	NA	Eligibility = 41.09% Coverage = 41.09% Data Vendor : Sustainalytics We rely on Sustainalytics' country risk analysis which includes social risks such as civil or transnational conflict, state repression, violent crime, and labour rights/discrimination. Sustainalytics captures the most controversial social-related events in which a country is involved in that are interpreted to potentially affect the prosperity and economic development of a country and its ability to manage its wealth in an effective and sustainable manner. At the event level, the overall impact on stakeholders and the environment gets evaluated based on the Incidents' Severity Scores as well as the risk to a country's Human Capital. The impacts of an incident, get summarized in a Severity Score, which measures the Depth (the degree of impact), Breadth (how widespread the impact is) and Duration (what the duration is likely to be) of an Incident. We consider and report on only the most severe events (category 5) to constitute Social Violations in the spirit of the regulation. Data points provided by Sustainalytics are considered as an exact fit to this PAI's requirements.	Our third party ESG data providers include social factors in their assessment of sovereign issuers which is available for inclusion in our investment process. We intend to develop our use of these data in the coming year as we establish a dedicated ESG framework for sovereign issuers.
	Number of investee countries subject to social violations (relative number divided by all investee countries), as referred to in international treaties and conventions, United Nations principles and, where applicable, national law	0.00%	NA	See above	See above	

Indicators applicable to investments in real estate assets

Fossil fuels	17. Exposure to fossil fuels through real estate assets	Share of investments in real estate assets involved in the extraction, storage, transport or manufacture of fossil fuels	NA	NA	Eligibility 0%	
Energy efficiency	18. Exposure to energy-inefficient real estate assets	Share of investments in energy-inefficient real estate assets	NA	NA	Eligibility 0%	

Other indicators for principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors

Table 2

Additional climate and other environment-related indicators

Adverse sustainability impact	Adverse impact on sustainability factors (qualitative or quantitative)	Metric	Impact ¹ (year 2022)	Impact ² (year 2021)	Explanation ³	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
-------------------------------	--	--------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------	--

Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies

CLIMATE AND OTHER ENVIRONMENT-RELATED INDICATORS

Emissions	4. Investments in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives	Share of investments in investee companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives aimed at aligning with the Paris Agreement	31.30%	NA	Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 32.01% Data vendor : Bloomberg Emissions Reduction Initiatives indicate whether the company has implemented any initiatives to reduce its emissions, such as GHGs, SOx, NOx, or other air pollutants. A "No" indicates that the company has not explicitly disclosed any such efforts in its most recent Annual or Company Responsibility reports. Data limitation: By now, only a fraction of companies has committed to carbon emission reduction initiatives but we can expect this proportion to increase in the future. This PAI is an Exact Fit to this PAI definition. Bloomberg do not use estimation models to cover this PAI.	We believe that the most significant reduction in GHG emissions will be achieved by companies delivering a transition away from their dependency on fossil fuels. For that reason we favour engagement in support of robust transition plans over divestment. Our parent entity's net zero commitments will also involve divestment over time from companies which do not develop robust carbon emission reduction plans.
-----------	---	---	--------	----	--	---

1. Derivatives are not included in the calculation of Principal Adverse Impact metrics due to data limitations, but are considered for eligibility and coverage calculations. Further information on the PAI calculation methodology are available on our website.

2. The reference period of Principle Adverse Impacts started on 1 January 2022 and there would be no historical comparisons available for the current reporting year (1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022).

3. 'Eligible' means holdings that are relevant (companies, sovereigns or real estate) for Principle Adverse Impact indicators in question and 'covered' means those eligible holdings for which relevant underlying data has been obtained or estimated.

Table 3

Additional indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters

Adverse sustainability impact	Adverse impact on sustainability factors (qualitative or quantitative)	Metric	Impact ¹ (year 2022)	Impact ² (year 2021)	Explanation ³	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
INDICATORS FOR SOCIAL AND EMPLOYEE, RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, ANTI-CORRUPTION AND ANTI-BRIBERY MATTERS						
Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies						
Human Rights	9. Lack of a human rights policy	Share of investments in entities without a human rights policy	27.30%	NA	<p>Eligibility = 52.35% Coverage = 32.02% Data Vendor : Bloomberg</p> <p>This PAI is disclosing the investments in companies which lack a certain Human Rights policy or programme.</p> <p>Data limitation: By now, only a fraction of companies publish a human right policy but we can expect this proportion to increase in the future. This PAI is an Exact Fit to this PAI definition. Bloomberg do not use estimation models to cover this PAI.</p>	Potential human right violations are amongst the issues monitored by our third party data provider identifying alleged breaches of the UN Global Compact and other international standards by issuers. Enhanced due diligence is undertaken where these are held in active fundamental investment strategies. We engage with portfolio companies to encourage the development and application of effective human rights policies and risk management.

Description of policies to identify and prioritise principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors

The information presented may refer to HSBC Asset Management's global policies and global initiatives. Even though we may be involved in the implementation and application of global policies the commitments listed are not necessarily a direct reflection of our entity in Malta, unless specified. We recognize that sustainability risks can lead to outcomes that have negative impacts on the value of the financial products and on society. We therefore aim to incorporate material sustainability issues in our investment process as well as seeking to mitigate negative impacts. HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd supports the UN Global Compact principles and has developed stand-alone policies and statements on Banned Weapons, Biodiversity, Climate Change and Thermal Coal alongside the Responsible Investment Policy, that further outline HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd approach including how we integrate associated risks and opportunities, our engagement focus and collaborative activities. Details are in HSBC Asset Management Responsible Investment policy and on our website.

These global policies apply equally when we act as either a financial market participant (investment manager) or financial adviser. They have been approved and are kept under review by our HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd Sustainability Forum - which includes our global CEO, CIO, Heads of Risk, Legal and Sustainability - as follows: Responsible Investment (January 2022) Banned Weapons (June 2022), Biodiversity (June 2022), Climate Change (June 2022) and Thermal Coal (September 2022). The investment function is responsible for the implementation of these policies, overseen by Asset Class ESG Committees and the ESG Investment Committee. Their implementation draws upon a range of data sources to inform the integration of ESG factors into our investment process and assessment of principal adverse impacts. These include MSCI, ISS, S&P Trucost, Bloomberg and Sustainalytics. We recognize that data reliability and availability may vary across impact indicators and regions. Addressing this may take time as requirements for disclosure of underlying data differ across markets and types of issuer, and standardisation of disclosure is not inevitable. We also leverage our global investment analyst network and engagement activities to inform our evaluation of the risks of adverse impact in our investment. We have selected indicators to identify and assess principal adverse impacts to reflect data sources we use in our own investment processes and / or the data available to market participants in a consistent and comparable format with sufficiently broad coverage.

Engagement policies

We strongly believe in the impact and effectiveness of engagement to encourage improvement in corporate practices and therefore actively engage with the companies in which we invest. If companies present sustainability risks, we may apply selective exclusions. We engage directly with company management teams to raise areas of concern. Our global Engagement Policy sets out our approach and can be found on our website. We engage with board members, executive management and responsible officers to ensure issuers have long term financial sustainability. We leverage voting rights to reward positive corporate development, drive behavioural change, and hold company directors accountable when they fail to meet our expectations. We draw upon the full range of internal and external data on adverse impacts to inform our engagement approach. We have a well-established escalation procedure with a range of engagement tools where issuers do not respond sufficiently or adverse impacts are not reduced. We publish our annual stewardship plan, which also details our approach to prioritisation of engagement topics and includes a number of the indicators for adverse impacts considered in our engagement. These include: greenhouse gas emissions, labour and social impacts of climate transition, biodiversity loss, potential human rights violations, gender inequality and economic inequality.

References to international standards

HSBC Global Asset Management Ltd is committed to the application and promotion of global standards and believes in collaborative action to address the sustainability challenges globally. Our global Responsible Investment Policy references the following international standards:

Finance for Biodiversity pledge
International Labour Organisation's labour standards
OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
UN Global Compact
UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
UN Principles for Responsible Investment
Universal Declaration of Human Rights

Paris Climate Agreement; our parent entity's ambition under its commitment to the Net Zero Asset Managers initiative aligns us to a 1.5 degree objective as sought in the Agreement; indicators related to greenhouse gas emissions above are used to measure this alignment. Our parent entity uses a range of data sources to inform the integration of ESG factors into its investment process and assessment of principal adverse impacts. These include MSCI, ISS, S&P Trucost, Bloomberg and Sustainalytics. Data coverage and transparency can be restricted; for which our parent entity is looking to develop its own bespoke ratings.

Historical comparison

Historical comparisons for the reference period would not be available as this is the first year of reporting PAI values.

1. Derivatives are not included in the calculation of Principal Adverse Impact metrics due to data limitations, but are considered for eligibility and coverage calculations. Further information on the PAI calculation methodology are available on our website.

2. The reference period of Principle Adverse Impacts started on 1 January 2022 and there would be no historical comparisons available for the current reporting year (1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022).

3. 'Eligible' means holdings that are relevant (companies, sovereigns or real estate) for Principle Adverse Impact indicators in question and 'covered' means those eligible holdings for which relevant underlying data has been obtained or estimated.